

Gio Wiederhold Stanford University and MITRE Corp. May 2005 www-db.stanford.edu/people/gio.html

A new type of Business

Services on the Semantic* Web

- Inspiration for academics
- A hot topic for funders
- A motivation for technologists
- An opportunity for system integrators
- A puzzle for individual customers
- A dead-end for accountants
- A mystery for business economists
- Of undetermined value to investors

* Primarily a technology issue?

Software needed for Services

- Software Components
- Many interfaces

from

Avron Barr & Shirly Tessler:

Shared Semantics: A

Briefing on the Emerging

Market for Distributed

Ontology Technology;

Aldo Ventures, Aptos CA,

August 2002.

Based on ESWC 2001 workshop



Product Worth: cost or income?

- Software producers traditionally care about
 - Cost of writing software
 - Time to complete products
 - Capabilities



1150

- When the value is a concern, others play
 - Business people
 - Economists
 - Lawyers
 - Promoters

inconsistent

Why a concern for SW folk

- Making decisions about creative tradeoffs
 - Elegance versus functionality
 - Rapid generation versus maintainability
 - Careful specification versus flexibility
- Dealing with customers
 Dijkstra model: for self-satisfaction
 Engineering model: formal process driven
 Startup model: see if it sticks to the wall
- Gain respect: know what you are doing

Why am I valuing SW now?

- Much software is being exported as part of offshoring (offshore outsourcing)
- It is typically property i.e., protected
- If it is not valued correctly i.e., too low
 - 1. Loss of income to the creators in the USA
 - 2. And loss of taxes to the US treasury
 - 3. Excessive profits kept external to the USA
 - 4. Increased motivation for external investment

SW is an Intangible

- Product of knowledge
- Cost of original >> cost of copies
 - Books authors 1. 2. Software Ever programmers Even 3. Inventions engineers Less 4. Trademarks advertisers Tangible 5. Knowhow managers 6. Customer Loyalty long-term quality

bv

Valuation of intangibles

- **Principle** The sum of all future income discounted to today (NPV) Implicitly estimated by share holders Example: Value of a company (SAP) Largely intangible – like many modern enterprises Market value = share price × no. of shares €31.5B 1 Bookvalue – sum of all tangible assets 2. € 6.3B Equipment, buildings, cash
 - Intangible value per stock market 80% 3. €25.2B

Intangible/tangible = 4

How much of it is software?

100%

20%

Value of software today.

- You have a great Web Service today.
- It is based on wonderful software.
- You are getting great customers.

Next Year, in 5 years, in 10 years

- Will your Web service be the same?
- Will your software be the same?
- Will your customers be happy?

Software is slithery

- Continuously updated
- 1. Corrective maintenance bugfixing, reduces for good SW
- 2. Adaptive maintenance *externally mandated, steady*
- 3. Perfective maintenance satisfy customers' growing expectations, grows



Ratios differ in various settings, unknown for web services

IP sources

- Corrective maintenance
 - Feedback through error reporting mechanisms
 - Taking care of missed cases
- Adaptive maintenance
 - Staff to monitor externally imposed changes
 - Compliance with new communication standards
 - Compatibility with related services
- Perfective maintenance
 - Feedback through sales & marketing staff
 - Needed to keep and gain customers

Oct 2004

Maintenance costs

- are substantial
 - About 20% of the initial cost per year
- cause the software to grow
 - Larger software is more costly to maintain
- prolong life of successful applications
 - 8 to 18 years, but unknown for web services
- dominate over the lifetime of a product
 - Maintenance cost is about 80%
- in time kill products
 - Innovation replaces them with more effective products and services

To value: quantify it all



Observations

Software cannot grow exponentially

no Moore's Law

Because

- 1. Cost of maintaining software grows exponentially [Brooks:95]
- 2. Can't afford to hire staff at exponential $*^2$
- 3. Cannot have large fraction of changes in a version
- 4. Cannot impose version changes on users > 1 / year
- 5. Deleting code is risky and of little benefit

except in game / embedded code

Price remember IP =f(income)

Price stays ≈ fixed over time

now like hardware

€ \$ £ ¥ € \$ £ ¥ € \$ £ ¥ € \$ £ ¥ € \$ £ ¥ € \$ £ ¥ € Because

- 1. Customers expect to pay same for same functionality
- 2. Keep new competitors out
- 3. Enterprise contracts are set at 15% of base price/an
- Effect

The income per unit of original code reduces by 1/size



Unit value



Gio: WebWorth

Total income

Total income = price × volume (year of life)

- Hence must estimate volume, lifetime
- Best predictors are previous comparables
 - > Erlang curve fitting (m=6 to 20, 12 is typical)
- and apply common sense limit = Penetration
 - estimate total possible sales F × #customers
 - > above F= 50% monopolistic aberration

Sales curves



Oct 2004

Web services companies

- 1. Develop software
 - Generates IP, but no income yet, no value yet

*

- 2. Use that software to provide services
 - Basis of IP: income from service sales
- 3. Lease that software to other service providers
 - Basis of IP: income from software sales
- 4. Purchase & license software for their use
 - Generates income based on IP obtained
- 5. Have software for their own internal use
 - No income, just cost benefits, have IP value
 ... and combinations

Fraction of income for SW*

Income in a software company is used for

- Cost of capital
 typical
 - Dividends and interest $\approx 10\%$
- Routine operations -- not requiring IP
 - Distribution, administration, management $\approx 40\%$
- IP Generating Expenses (IGE)
 - Research and development, i.e., SW $\approx 25\%$
 - Advertising and marketing

These numbers are available in annual reports or 10Ks

Gio: WebWorth

≈ 25%

Discounting to NPV

Standard business procedure

 Net present Value (NPV) of getting funds 1 year later = $F \times (1 - \text{discount } \%)$ Standard values are available for many businesses based on risk (β) of business, typical 15% Discounting strongly reduces effect of the far future NPV of \$1.- in 9 years at 15% is \$0.28 NPV of \$1.- in 9 years at 20% is \$0.19

Also means that bad long-term assumptions have less effect

Combining it all



factor	today	y1	y2	уЗ	y4	y5	y6	у7	y8	y9
Version 1.0		2.0		3.0	4.0		5.0	6.0		7.0
Service Pr	\$0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Rel.size	1.00	1.67	2.33	3.00	3.67	4.33	5.00	5.67	6.33	7.00
Service Volume K		1911	7569	11306	11395	8644	2646	1370	1241	503
Revenue	\$K	955	3785	5653	5698	4322	2646	1370	621	252
Part for SW 25%		239	946	1413	1425	1081	662	342	155	63
Maintenance @ 20%		200	333	467	600	733	867	750	450	225
Gross income \$K		755	3451	5186	5097	3589	1779	620	170	27
Maint /Av.SW Ratio		0.84	0.35	0.33	0.42	0.68	1.31	2.19	2.90	3.58
Disct 20%	1.00	0.83	0.70	0.58	0.48	0.40	0.34	0.28	0.23	0.19
SW cost	1,000	ratio maintenance/total = 83%						Actual 5,625		
Gross Inc.	12,641	after discounting						Actual 25,300		
Total	1,700	≈ \$ 1.7 million								

Oct 2004

Result of Example

- Selling 50M service units @ \$0.50 ≈ \$ 1.7M not \$ 25.0M
- Once its in a spreadsheet, the effect of the many assumptions made can be checked.
- When assumptions later prove unwarranted then management can make corrections.
- To be wise, don't spend more than ≈ \$500 000 to develop the services software.

Business assumptions here

- 1. Original cost \$1 000 000 (used to estimate 2.)
- 2. Maintenance cost 20%/year of aggregate cost
- 3. Maintenance fee 15%/year of original price
- 4. Cost to income lag 1 year
- 5. Stop maintenance when cost > income
- Moderately risky business: discount rate 20%
 Average for SW industry is 15%, for startups 60%

Profits can be fed to founders & investors or reinvested in new business ventures

Software is an ongoing effort

Analysis shows importance of maintenance

Even poor numbers can help convince others.

- To achieve success in a service business
 - 1. Management must value maintenance
 - 2. Related standards, services must be monitored
 - 3. Marketing and sales must provide feedback
 - 4. Education and training must recognize the value of maintenance and maintainability

Software is poorly understood

- 1. Academics don't teach it
 - It's barely in textbooks: 3/850 pages [Pressman:01]
- 2. Accountants ignore it
 - 1. It's classified as R&D, and hence looks optional
 - 2. It should be considered as Cost-of-Goods-Sold
 - Leads to ridiculous margins: 99.9% for web services, Is 91-97% for much of the software industry
- 3. Companies assign maintenance to novices Experienced programmers should maintain their work and learn from it.

Knowing what software is worth

- Allows rational design decisions, as
 - Limiting development efforts
 - Programming investment for maintenance
- Allows rational business decisions, as
 - Choice of business model
 - Where and when to invest
 - How to assign programming talent
- Improve focus of education in software
 - Consider quality, not just quantity in assignments
 - Effectiveness of curriculum